## **VERDICT FORM**

1	. Do you find that a combinatio	n or conspiracy	existed to	follow and	enforce the
Mandato	ory Offer of Compensation Rule in the	subject MLSs du	iring the c	onspiracy pe	riod alleged
in this ca	ase – April 29, 2015 through June 30,	2022?			
YES _	NO				
"No", st	answer to Question 1 is "Yes", proced top here and your deliberations are co dict Form, and proceed to the signatur	mplete; do not a			
2	Do you find that the combinat	ion or conspirac	y set fort	h in Question	n 1 had the
purpose	or effect of raising, inflating, or stabili	zing broker comr	nission ra	tes paid by ho	ome sellers?
YES _	NO				
"No", st this Verd	answer to Question 2 is "Yes", procedute to phere and your deliberations are condict Form, and proceed to the signature.  Which of the following corporations.	omplete; do not a e page.	nswer any	remaining q	uestions on
voluntar	ily joined the combination or conspi	racy set forth in	Question	n 1 with the	purpose of
furtherin	ng its goals?				
N	National Association of Realtors	YES	NO		
F	HomeServices of America, Inc.	YES	NO		
E	BHH Affiliates, LLC	YES	NO		
H	HSF Affiliates, LLC	YES	NO		
k	Keller Williams Realty, Inc.	YES	NO		
F	RE/MAX, LLC	YES	NO		
	Anywhere Real Estate, Inc. f/k/a Realogy Holdings Corp.)	YES	NO		

4.		Do you find that the co	ombination or conspiracy set forth in Question 1 caused the
Class Plain	ntiff	s to pay more for real es	state brokerage services when selling their homes than they
would hav	e pa	id absent that combinat	ion or conspiracy?
YES		NO	<del>-</del>
"No", stop	p he		", proceed to Question 5 If your answer to Question 4 is are complete; do not answer any remaining questions on signature page.
5.		State the amount of dar	mages proved by the Class Plaintiffs.
	\$		
Please sign	n an	d date indicating that yo	u unanimously agree on the above responses.
Date:			
Plaintiffs'	Proj	posed Verdict Form A (	revised)
Sources:		In re Urethane Antitrus Form (Doc. 2799)	et Litig., Case No. 2:04-md-01616-JWL (D. Kan.), Verdict